

BORA LASKIN LAW LIBRARY



3 1761 03348 8099

CASES AND MATERIALS ON CRIMINAL LAW AND PROCEDURE

Preliminary Sixth Edition

1990

M.L. Friedland

and

Kent Roach

Faculty of Law

University of Toronto

PART TWO

FUNDAMENTAL PRINCIPLES OF CRIMINAL LIABILITY

218
7
970
2

LAW LIBRARY

AUG 30 1990

FACULTY OF LAW
UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

FACULTY OF LAW LIBRARY
UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

CASES AND MATERIALS ON CRIMINAL LAW AND PROCEDURE

Preliminary Sixth Edition

1990

M.L. Friedland

and


Kent Roach

Faculty of Law

University of Toronto

PART TWO

FUNDAMENTAL PRINCIPLES OF CRIMINAL LIABILITY



Digitized by the Internet Archive
in 2018 with funding from
University of Toronto

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Part One

THE CRIMINAL PROCESS

	PAGE
1 INTRODUCTION TO CRIMINAL LAW AND PROCEDURE	1
Excerpt from <u>Studies in Strict Liability</u>	1
Statistical Breakdown of Criminal Offences in Canada 1988	5
I. Scheme of the Criminal Code	7
II. The Criminal Code as Federal Legislation	7
Excerpt from "Criminal Justice and the Division of Power in Canada"	7
<u>Switzman v. Elbling</u>	11
<u>Schneider v. R.</u>	12
III. Codification: The Criminal As a Statute	14
A) History of the Criminal Code	14
Excerpt from "The Criminal Law, 1867-1967"	14
B) Common Law Defences	16
<u>Amato v. R.</u>	16
C) Common Law Offences	17
<u>Frey v. Fedoruk</u>	17
D) Note on the Common Law Offence of Contempt of Court	19
<u>R. v. Cohn</u>	19

	<u>R. v. Martin</u>	20
	<u>R. v. Kopyto</u>	20
E)	Vagueness and Certainty in the Criminal Law	21
	<u>R. v. Heffer</u>	21
	<u>Papachristou et al v. City of Jacksonville</u>	25
F)	Strict Construction of the Criminal Code	28
	<u>R. v. Goulis</u>	28
	<u>R. v. Pare</u>	31
	<u>R. v. Prevost</u>	36
2	THE INVESTIGATION OF CRIME	37
	Excerpt from "Constitutionalizing Disrepute: Exclusion of Evidence After <u>Therens</u> "	38
I.	Questioning Suspects	43
	A) The Common Law Governing Confessions	43
	<u>Boudreau v. The King</u>	43
	B) Confessions and the Right to Counsel	45
	<u>Clarkson v. R.</u>	45
	<u>R. v. Manninen</u>	51
	<u>R. v. Brydges</u>	54
	<u>Miranda v. Arizona</u>	57
	<u>R. v. Rowbotham et al.</u>	59
	C) Jailhouse Confessions	61
	<u>Rothman v. R.</u>	61
	<u>R. v. Hebert</u>	66
	Excerpt from "L.R.C. Working Paper 32 Questioning Suspects"	77

	Excerpt from "L.R.C. Report 23 Questioning Suspects"	77
II.	Entrapment	78
	<u>Kirzner v. The Queen</u>	78
	<u>R. v. Jewitt</u>	80
	<u>R. v. Amato</u>	81
	<u>R. v. Mack</u>	85
	<u>R. v. Showman</u>	90
	Excerpt from "Controlling Entrapment"	91
III.	Search, Seizure and Arrest	93
	A) The Protection of Privacy	93
	<u>Hunter v. Southam</u>	93
	<u>Thomsen Newspapers Ltd. v. Canada</u>	97
	<u>R. v. LeBeau and Lofthouse</u>	99
	B) Prior Judicial Authorization of Search and Seizure	103
	Excerpt from "L.R.C. Working Paper 30 Police Powers - Search and Seizure in Criminal Law Enforcement"	103
	<u>A.G. of Nova Scotia v. MacIntyre</u>	104
	<u>R. v. Baylis</u>	104
	C) The Effect of Obtaining a Search Warrant	105
	<u>U.S. v. Leon</u>	105
	D) Exclusion of Evidence Obtained in Violation of Section 8 of the Charter	105
	<u>R. v. Wray</u>	105
	<u>R. v. Collins</u>	106
	Excerpt from "L.R.C. Report 24 Search and Seizure"	112

E) Arrest	115
Excerpt from "L.R.C. Report 29 Arrest"	115
<u>R. v. Rao</u>	116
<u>R. v. Morrison</u>	116
<u>Cloutier v. Langlois</u>	116
<u>R. v. Beare</u>	117
<u>R. v. Pithart</u>	117
IV. Constitutional Restraints on the Investigation of Crime: A Case Study of Drunk Driving	119
<u>R. v. Therens</u>	119
<u>R. v. Thomsen</u>	120
<u>R. v. Hufsky</u>	123
<u>R. v. Ladouceur</u>	125
<u>R. v. Dymment</u>	128
3 THE CRIMINAL TRIAL PROCESS	132
I. The Donald Marshall Case	133
Note on Appeals	133
A) Marshall's Appeals	134
<u>R. v. Marshall</u> (1972)	134
<u>R. v. Marshall</u> (1983)	143
B) Excerpt from the "Royal Commission on the Donald Marshall Jr., Prosecution"	148
II. The Role of the Prosecutor and Defence Counsel	160
<u>Boucher v. R.</u>	160
<u>Nelles v. R.</u>	161
Disclosure	162

	Rules of Professional Conduct	162
	<u>R. v. Bourget</u>	162
	Excerpt from "The Royal Commission on the Donald Marshall Jr., Prosecution "	164
	Plea Bargaining	166
	Excerpt from "The Prosecutor"	166
	<u>Adgey v. R.</u>	167
	Excerpt from "L.R.C. Working Paper 15 Criminal Procedure: Control of the Process"	172
	Excerpt from "L.R.C. Working Paper 60 Plea Discussions and Agreements"	172
	Rules of Professional Conduct	174
	Excerpt from "Defending a Criminal Case"	175
III.	Pre-trial Release	180
	Excerpt from "L.R.C. Working Paper 57 Compelling Appearance, Interim Release and Pre-Trial Detention"	181
	<u>R. v. Thompson</u>	182
	<u>R. v. Bray</u>	184
	<u>R. v. Pugsley</u>	185
	<u>R. v. Kevork</u>	186
IV.	Classification of Offences	187
V.	Preliminary Hearing	189
	<u>Patterson v. R.</u>	190
	<u>Caccamo v. R.</u>	193
	<u>R. v. Skogman</u>	195
VI.	Trial Within a Reasonable Time	197
VII.	Pre-Trial Publicity and Change of Venue	198
	<u>R. v. Bryant</u>	198

	<u>R. v. Ebsary</u>	200
	Excerpt from "L.R.C. Working Paper 56 Public and Media Access to the Criminal Process"	202
VIII.	The Jury	203
	<u>R. v. Turpin and Siddiqui</u>	203
	<u>R. v. Lyons</u>	209
	<u>R. v. Emile</u>	209
	A) Selecting the Jury	209
	Excerpt from "The Royal Commission on the Donald Marshall Jr., Prosecution"	
209		
	<u>R. v. Kent et al.</u>	210
	<u>R. v. Favel</u>	210
	<u>R. v. Hubbert</u>	212
	<u>R. v. Zundel</u>	213
	B) Jury Unanimity	216
	<u>Latour v. R.</u>	216
	<u>R. v. Isaac</u>	217
	<u>Thatcher v. R.</u>	219
IX.	Evidence and Argument Before the Jury	221
	<u>R. v. Salmon</u>	221
	Excerpt from "The Royal Commission on the Donald Marshall Jr., Prosecution"	222
	<u>Vezeau v. R.</u>	223
	<u>R. v. Boss</u>	223
	<u>R. v. Corbett</u>	224
X.	Quantum and Burden of Proof	230
	<u>Woolmington v. D.P.P.</u>	230

	<u>R. v. Shelley</u>	235
	<u>R. v. Oakes</u>	236
	<u>R. v. Whyte</u>	239
	<u>R. v. Godfrey</u>	243
	<u>R. v. Metro News</u>	244
	<u>R. v. Schwartz</u>	244
	<u>R. v. Summers</u>	246
	<u>R. v. Hepworth and Fearnley</u>	247
	<u>R. v. Lachance</u>	248
	<u>R. v. Campbell</u>	250
	<u>R. v. Gardiner</u>	250
4	THE LIMITS OF THE CRIMINAL LAW	251
I.	Preliminary Readings	251
	Excerpt from "The Wolfenden Report"	251
	Excerpt from "The Enforcement of Morals"	253
	Excerpt from "The Function of Criminal Law"	257
	Excerpt from "Pornography and Prostitution in Canada"	258
	<u>Bowers v. Hardwick</u>	263
	<u>R. v. Skinner</u>	264
II.	Abortion	268
	Excerpt from "L.R.C. Working Paper 58 Crimes Against the Foetus"	268
	<u>R. v. Bourne</u>	269
	<u>R. v. Morgentaler (1974)</u>	275

	<u>R. v. Morgentaler (1975)</u>	275
	<u>R. v. Morgentaler (1988)</u>	280
	<u>Borowski v. A.G. of Canada</u>	290
	<u>Daigle v. Tremblay</u>	290
	Criminal Code Amendment	291
III.	Hate Literature	292
	<u>R. v. Buzzanga and Durocher</u>	293
	<u>R. v. Zundel</u>	296
	<u>R. v. Keegstra</u>	299
	<u>R. v. Andrews</u>	303
	Excerpt from "L.R.C. Working Paper 50 Hate Propaganda"	307

Part Two

FUNDAMENTAL PRINCIPLES OF CRIMINAL LIABILITY

	PAGE
5 THE CRIMINAL ACT: EXTERNAL CIRCUMSTANCES OF THE OFFENCE	309
Excerpt from "Criminal Law"	309
<u>R. v. Miller</u>	309
Excerpt from "L.R.C. Report 31 Recodifying Criminal Law"	310
<u>R. v. Corrier</u>	310
<u>Fagan v. Commissioner of Metropolitan Police</u>	314
<u>Bratty v. A.G. for Northern Ireland</u>	317
<u>R. v. Larssonneur</u>	317
I. Omissions	318
Problem	318
Excerpt from "A Penal Code Prepared by the Indian Law Commissioners"	318
<u>People v. Beardsley</u>	321
<u>R. v. Instan</u>	324
<u>Commonwealth v. Cali</u>	326
<u>R. v. Miller</u>	327
<u>Horsley v. MacLaren</u>	328
Excerpt from "Medicine and the Law - Withholding Paediatric Medical Care"	330
<u>R. v. Goulis</u>	330
<u>R. v. Colucci</u>	331
Excerpt from "L.R.C. Report 31 Recodifying	

	Criminal Law"	331
II.	Causation	332
	<u>R. v. Winning</u>	333
	<u>R. v. Jordan</u>	333
	<u>R. v. Smith</u>	335
	<u>People v. Lewis</u>	338
	<u>R. v. Kitching and Adams</u>	339
	<u>R. v. Malcherek</u>	340
	<u>R. v. Green and Harrison</u>	340
	Excerpt from "L.R.C. Report 31 Recodifying Criminal Law"	341
	<u>R. v. Smithers</u>	341
	<u>R. v. Blaue</u>	343
	<u>Pagett v. R.</u>	344
	Excerpt from "Studies in Criminal Law"	346
	Excerpt from "L.R.C. Report 31 Recodifying Criminal Law"	347
III.	Constructive Murder	347
	Excerpt from "From Felony Murder to Accomplice Felony Attempted Murder: The Rake's Progress Compleat?"	347
	Excerpt from "L.R.C. Working Paper 33 Homicide"	349
	Excerpt from "L.R.C. Report 31 Recodifying Criminal Law"	353
	<u>Vaillancourt v. R.</u>	354
	<u>R. v. Giff</u>	362
6	ATTEMPT AND RELATED PROBLEMS	365

I.	Mens Rea for an Attempt	365
	<u>Lajoie v. R.</u>	365
	<u>R. v. Ancio</u>	369
	<u>R. v. Logan</u>	372
II.	Actus Reus for an Attempt	373
	<u>R. v. Robinson</u>	373
	<u>D.P.P. v. Stonehouse</u>	377
	<u>R. v. Barker</u>	377
	<u>R. v. Cline</u>	381
	<u>R. v. Sorrell and Bondett</u>	384
	<u>Deutsch v. R.</u>	386
	<u>Davey v. Lee</u>	387
	<u>Balogh v. Crown Court at St. Albans</u>	387
	Excerpt from "English Law Commission's Working Paper No. 50, Inchoate Offences"	387
	Excerpt from "L.R.C. Report 31 Recodifying Criminal Law"	388
	Excerpt from "Should We Have a Law of Attempted Crime?"	389
	Problem	389
III.	Impossibility	390
	<u>R. v. Scott</u>	390
	<u>Booth v. State of Oklahoma</u>	391
	<u>R. v. Donnelly</u>	396
	<u>R. v. Smith (Roger)</u>	397
	<u>Partington v. Williams</u>	402
	<u>Director of Public Prosecutions v. Nock</u>	402

	<u>Detering v. R.</u>	403
	<u>Anderton v. Ryan</u>	403
	<u>R. v. Shivpuri</u>	404
IV.	Other Forms of Involvement: Counselling, Conspiracy, Aiding and Abetting, etc.	405
	<u>R. v. Dungey</u>	405
	A) Counselling	406
	<u>R. v. McLeod</u>	406
	<u>R. v. Richard</u>	407
	B) Aiding and Abetting	408
	<u>R. v. Kulbacki</u>	408
	<u>R. v. Salajko</u>	410
	<u>Dunlop and Sylvester v. R.</u>	411
	<u>R. v. Popen</u>	412
	<u>R. v. Madigan</u>	413
	<u>Poitrass v. R.</u>	415
	<u>R. v. Greenlaw</u>	418
	<u>R. v. Meston</u>	418
	<u>Sokolowski v. R.</u>	418
	Excerpt from "L.R.C. Report 31 Recodifying Criminal Law"	419
	C) Common Intention	420
	<u>R. v. Maier and Clark</u>	420
	<u>R. v. Trineer</u>	421
	<u>R. v. Riezebos</u>	424
	<u>R. v. Harris</u>	426
V.	First Degree Murder	429

	<u>Droste v. R.</u>	429
	<u>R. v. Nygaard and Schimmens</u>	429
	<u>R. v. Stevens</u>	431
	<u>R. v. Munro and Munro</u>	432
	<u>R. v. Collins</u>	433
	<u>R. v. Prevost</u>	436
	<u>R. v. Woods and Gruener</u>	439
	<u>R. v. Dollan and Newstead</u>	439
	<u>R. v. Paré</u>	439
	Excerpt from "L.R.C. Report 31 Recodifying Criminal Law"	441
7	THE MENTAL STATE: REQUIREMENTS OF CULPABILITY	443
	<u>R. v. Tolson</u>	443
	Excerpt from "English Law Commission Working Paper No. 31 The Mental Element in Crime"	444
	Excerpt from "The Criminal Law in Canadian Society"	444
I.	Model Penal Code and other Legislative Solutions	445
	Excerpt from "A.L.I. Model Penal Code"	445
	Excerpt from "The Model Penal Code" (Lecture by Professor Wechsler)	446
	Excerpt from "New York Revised Penal Law"	447
	Excerpt from "English Law Commission's Working Paper No. 31, The Mental Element in Crime"	448
	Excerpt from "L.R.C. Report 31 Recodifying Criminal Law"	449
II.	Intent	450
	<u>R. v. Steane</u>	450

	<u>Dunbar v. R.</u>	453
	<u>Paquette v. R.</u>	454
	<u>R. v. George</u>	458
III.	Recklessness / Criminal Negligence	463
	<u>R. v. Titchner</u>	463
	<u>Leblanc v. R.</u>	465
	<u>R. v. Caldwell</u>	465
	<u>R. v. Lawrence</u>	466
	<u>R. v. Tutton and Tutton</u>	466
	<u>R. v. Waite</u>	475
IV.	Presumed Intent	476
	Problem	476
	<u>D.P.P. v. Smith</u>	476
	<u>Parker v. R.</u>	478
	<u>Hyam v. D.P.P.</u>	479
	<u>R. v. Hughes</u>	479
	<u>R. v. Tennant and Naccarato</u>	479
	<u>R. v. Quaranta</u>	481
	<u>R. v. DeWolfe</u>	482
	<u>R. v. Vasil</u>	487
	<u>R. v. Chabot</u>	491
8	STRICT RESPONSIBILITY	492
	<u>R. v. Ping Yuen</u>	492
	<u>R. v. Regina Cold Storage & Forwarding Co. Ltd.</u>	495

	<u>Beaver v. R.</u>	497
	<u>R. v. Pierce Fisheries Ltd.</u>	504
	<u>R. v. City of Sault Ste. Marie</u>	505
	<u>Strasser v. Roberge</u>	510
	<u>R. v. Chapin</u>	511
	<u>Reference Re Section 94(2) of the Motor Vehicle Act</u>	512
	<u>Irwin Toy Ltd. v. Quebec (Attorney-General)</u>	515
	<u>R. v. Metro News Ltd.</u>	516
	<u>R. v Wholesale Travel Group Inc.</u>	519
	<u>Stevens v. R.</u>	521
	<u>R. v. McLeod</u>	523
	Problem	524
9	MISTAKE OF FACT	527
	<u>R. v. Prince</u>	527
	<u>R. v. Rees</u>	531
	<u>D.P.P. v. Morgan</u>	534
	<u>Pappajohn v. R.</u>	535
	<u>Sansregret v. R.</u>	542
	<u>Laybourn, Bulmer and Illingworth v. R.</u>	544
	<u>R. v. Ladue</u>	546
	<u>R. v. Burgess</u>	548
	<u>R. v. Kundeus</u>	549
	Excerpt from "L.R.C. Report 31 Recodifying Criminal Law"	551

10	IGNORANCE OF THE LAW	553
	<u>R. v. Bailey</u>	553
	<u>R. v. Esop</u>	555
	<u>R. v. Ross</u>	555
	Excerpt from "The Ontario Regulations Act"	558
	Excerpt from "The Statutory Instruments Act"	558
	<u>Molis v. R.</u>	559
	<u>R. ex. rel. Irwin v. Dalley</u>	559
	<u>R. v. Prue and Baril</u>	561
	<u>R. v. MacDougall</u>	562
	<u>Boggs v. R.</u>	562
	<u>R. v. Docherty</u>	563
	<u>R. v. Campbell and Mlynarchuk</u>	563
	<u>R. v. MacIntyre</u>	569
	<u>R. v. Maclean</u>	569
	Excerpt from "National Security: The Legal Dimensions"	569
	<u>R. v. Cancoil Thermal Corporation and Parkinson</u>	570
	<u>R. v. Howson</u>	571
	<u>R. v. Shymkovich</u>	573
	Excerpt from "L.R.C. Report 31 Recodifying Criminal Law"	574
11	CORPORATE LIABILITY	576
	<u>R. v. Fane Robinson Ltd.</u>	576
	<u>R. v. Andrews Weatherfoil Ltd</u>	580

<u>R. v. Waterloo Mercury Sales Ltd.</u>	582
<u>R. v. McNamara</u>	584
<u>Canadian Dredge and Dock Co. Ltd. v. R.</u>	587
Excerpt from "L.R.C. Report 31 Recodifying Criminal Law"	592
<u>R. v. N.M. Paterson & Sons Ltd.</u>	594
<u>R. v. Amway Corporation and A-G Ont.</u>	594

Part Three

DEFENCES AND DISPOSITIONS

	PAGE
12	DRUNKENNESS 595
	Problem 595
	<u>D.P.P. v. Beard</u> 596
I.	Capacity to Form the Intent 604
	<u>Fisher v. R.</u> 604
	<u>R. v. Sequin</u> 607
	<u>Young v. R.</u> 608
II.	Drunkenness and Specific Intent 609
	<u>D.P.P. v. Majewski</u> 609
	<u>Leary v. R.</u> 613
	<u>R. v. Moreau</u> 616
	<u>Swietlinski v. R.</u> 617
	<u>Cooper v. R.</u> 617
	<u>Bernard v. R.</u> 618
	<u>R. v. Quinn</u> 627
	Excerpt from "L.R.C. Report 31 Recodifying Criminal Law" 627
13	INSANITY 629
I.	Stages of Criminal Process in Which Insanity May be Relevant 629
	A) Certification Before Trial 629

Excerpt from the Ontario "Mental Health Act"	629
B) Fitness to Stand Trial	632
Excerpt from the Ontario "Mental Health Act"	633
Excerpt from "L.R.C. Report 31 Recodifying Criminal Law"	634
C) Insanity as a Defence	635
Excerpt from "L.R.C. Report 5 Mental Disorder in the Criminal Process"	636
<u>R. v. Saxell</u>	636
<u>R. v. Swain</u>	637
<u>Jones v. United States</u>	639
D) Who Can Raise the Insanity Issue	639
<u>R. v. Simpson</u>	639
<u>R. v. Saxell</u>	640
E) Effect on a Requisite Mental State	641
<u>More v. R.</u>	641
<u>R. v. Baltzer</u>	642
<u>R. v. Wright</u>	643
F) Sentence	643
Excerpt from "Enforcing the Law"	643
Excerpt from "L.R.C. Report 5 Mental Disorder in the Criminal Process"	645
<u>R. v. Boomhower</u>	645
<u>R. v. Deans</u>	645
G) Transfer from Penal Institution to Mental Hospital	646
H) Executive Clemency	647

	Excerpt from the "Report of the Royal Commission on the Law of Insanity as a Defence in Criminal Cases"	647
II.	Insanity as a Defence	648
	Problem	648
	<u>M'Naghten's Case</u>	651
	<u>R. v. Simpson</u>	655
	<u>Cooper v. R.</u>	656
	<u>R. v. Windle</u>	657
	<u>R. v. Codere</u>	659
	Excerpt from the "Report of the Royal Commission on the Law of Insanity as a Defence in Criminal Cases"	660
	<u>Schwartz v. R.</u>	664
	<u>Cooper v. R.</u>	669
	<u>R. v. Kjeldsen</u>	671
	<u>R. v. Simpson</u>	671
	<u>R. v. Abbey</u>	671
	<u>R. v. Kirkby</u>	674
	<u>R. v. Landry</u>	675
	Excerpt from "L.R.C. Working Paper 29 Criminal Law, The General Part: Liability and Defences"	677
	Excerpt from "L.R.C. Report 31 Recodifying Criminal Law"	677
III.	Alternatives to M'Naghten	678
	<u>Durham v. United States</u>	678
	<u>Browner</u>	681
	Excerpt from "Abolish the Insanity Defence - Why Not?"	685

	Excerpt from article by Professor Dershowitz	685
IV.	Form of Expert Testimony	688
	<u>Bleta v. R.</u>	688
14	AUTOMATISM	692
	Problem	692
	<u>R. v. Hartridge</u>	692
I.	Conviction for Causing Death	693
	<u>Fain v. Commonwealth of Kentucky</u>	693
	Excerpt from the "Toronto Star"	696
	<u>R. v. Shaw</u>	697
II.	Proving Automatism	698
	<u>Hill v. Baxter</u>	698
III.	Relation to Insanity	701
	<u>R. v. Charlson</u>	701
	<u>R. v. Kemp</u>	704
	<u>R. v. Quick</u>	705
	<u>R. v. Sullivan</u>	708
	<u>R. v. Parks</u>	709
	<u>R. v. Hartridge</u>	709
	<u>R. v. Revelle</u>	710
	<u>R. v. K</u>	710
	<u>Rabey v. R.</u>	715
	Excerpt from "L.R.C. Report 31 Recodifying Criminal Law"	721
IV.	Provocation	722

	<u>Salamon v. R.</u>	722
	<u>Bedder v. D.P.P.</u>	725
	<u>D.P.P. v. Camplin</u>	728
	<u>R. v. Hill</u> (1982)	729
	<u>R. v. Hill</u> (1986)	730
	<u>R. v. Ly</u>	734
	<u>R. v. Galgay</u>	736
	<u>R. v. Nealy</u>	737
15	SOME ASPECTS OF EXCUSABLE CONDUCT: NECESSITY, DURESS, AND SELF-DEFENCE	738
I.	Necessity	738
	<u>United States v. Holmes</u>	738
	<u>R. v. Dudley and Stephens</u>	744
	<u>Morgentaler v. R.</u> (1975)	748
	<u>Perka v. R.</u>	753
	<u>R. v. Morgentaler</u> (1985)	759
	Excerpt from "L.R.C. Report 31 Recodifying Criminal Law"	760
II.	Duress	761
	<u>R. v. Carker</u>	762
	<u>R. v. Hudson and Taylor</u>	766
	<u>R. v. Morrison and McQueen</u>	768
	<u>Abbott v. R.</u>	770
	<u>R. v. Howe</u>	772
	Excerpt from "English Law Commission Working Paper No. 55"	773

	Excerpt from "L.R.C. Report 31 Recodifying Criminal Law"	773
III.	Self-Defence	774
	<u>Brown v. United States</u>	775
	<u>R. v. Lavallee</u>	776
	<u>R. v. Reilly</u>	785
	<u>People v. Bernard Goetz</u>	786
	Excerpt from "A Crime of Self-Defence"	788
	<u>Beckford v. R.</u>	789
	<u>R. v. Reilly</u>	790
	<u>Brisson v. R.</u>	791
	<u>R. v. Faid</u>	791
	Excerpt from "L.R.C. Report 31 Recodifying Criminal Law"	792
16	DOUBLE JEOPARDY	793
	<u>Green v. United States</u>	793
	<u>R. v. Shubley</u>	794
I.	Early Termination	797
	<u>R. v. Karpinsky</u>	797
	<u>R. v. T.C.D.</u>	799
	<u>Re Parkin and The Queen</u>	800
	<u>R. v. Moore</u>	801
II.	When Will a Dismissal be a Bar?	803
	<u>R. v. Riddle</u>	803
	<u>Petersen v. R.</u>	806
	<u>R. v. Conrad</u>	807

	<u>R. v. Tateham</u>	807
III.	The Special Pleas	808
	<u>R. v. Barron</u>	808
	<u>R. v. Rinnie</u>	810
	<u>Lockett v. R.</u>	812
IV.	Issue Estoppel	813
	<u>R. v. Carlson</u>	813
	<u>R. v. Feeley, McDermott and Wright</u>	819
	<u>R. v. Gushue</u> (1973)	820
	<u>R. v. Gushue</u> (1976)	822
	<u>Gushue v. R.</u> (1979)	822
	<u>Grdic v. R.</u>	824
	<u>R. v. Segal</u>	825
	<u>R. v. Elmosri</u>	825
V.	Splitting a Case	827
	<u>Connelly v. D.P.P.</u>	827
	<u>R. v. Osborn</u>	831
	<u>Rourke v. R.</u>	831
	<u>R. v. Jewitt</u>	832
	<u>R. v. B.</u>	834
VI.	Multiple Convictions	836
	<u>Kienapple v. R.</u>	836
	<u>Côté v. R.</u>	836
	<u>R. v. Hagenlocher</u>	837
	<u>Krug v. R.</u>	837
	<u>R. v. Prince</u>	838

	<u>R. v. Wigman</u>	840
VII.	Appeals and New Trials	841
	Excerpt from "Report of the Departmental Committee on New Trials in Criminal cases"	841
	<u>Vezeau v. R.</u>	842
	<u>R. v. Morin</u>	843
	<u>Hill v. R.</u>	844
	<u>R. v. Century 21 Ramos Realty</u>	844
VIII.	Problems in a Divided Jurisdiction	846
	<u>R. v. Kissick</u>	846
	<u>United States v. Lanza</u>	848
	<u>Abbate v. United States</u>	848
17	SENTENCING	850
I.	Problems	850
II.	General Principles	852
	<u>R. v. Pearce</u>	852
	<u>R. v. Hinch and Salanski</u>	855
	<u>R. v. Sumarah</u>	861
	<u>R. v. Morrisette</u>	865
	<u>R. v. Sandercock</u>	869
	Excerpt from "Sentencing Reform A Canadian Approach"	873
	<u>R. v. Ebsary</u>	878
	<u>R. v. Gardiner</u>	880
III.	Constitutional Considerations	882

	<u>Reference Re Section 94(2) of the B.C. Motor Vehicle Act</u>	882
	<u>R. v. Smith</u>	883
	<u>R. v. Mitchell</u>	887
	A Note on Parole and Remission	887
	A Note on Indeterminate Detention	888
	<u>R. v. Lyons</u>	888
	<u>R. v. Milne</u>	889
IV.	Sentencing Options Other than Imprisonment	890
	Excerpt from "Sentencing Reform A Canadian Approach"	890
	A Note on Absolute and Conditional Discharge	890
	A Note on Probation	891
	A Note on Restitution	891
	A Note on Fines	891
	<u>R. v. Hebb</u>	892
V.	Gender and Race Considerations in Sentencing	896
	<u>R. v. Fireman</u>	896
	<u>R. v. Naquitaruik</u>	899
VI.	Sentencing Policy and Reform	902
	Excerpt from "Sentencing Reform A Canadian Approach"	903

